SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

E. SCOTT BRADLEY JUDGE

1 The Circle, Suite 2 GEORGETOWN, DE 19947 TELEPHONE (302) 856-5256

August 26, 2019

Robert R. Meades SBI# 00124648 James T. Vaughn Correctional Center 1181 Paddock Road Smyrna, DE 19977

Re: Def. ID# 1101020478

Motion for Postconviction Relief - R3

SUSSEX COUNTY

2019 AUG 26 P 2: 47

Dear Mr. Meades:

This is my decision on your third motion for postconviction relief. Your motion seeks for the Court to vacate your guilty plea and sentence on the grounds that the Court never held a competency hearing to determine whether you were capable of knowingly and intelligently entering such a plea. You allege that you were incompetent "at all relevant times" as evidenced by your treatment and stay at the Delaware Psychiatric Center.

When faced with a claim for postconviction relief I must first determine whether any procedural bars prevent a consideration on the merits of any underlying arguments.¹ Superior Court Criminal Rule 61, governing

¹ Younger v. State, 580 A.2d 552, 554 (Del. 1990).

postconviction relief, states that all such motions beyond the first must be

summarily dismissed unless the movant was convicted after a trial and either (1)

pleads that new evidence exists showing that the movant is actually innocent in

fact, or (2) pleads that a new, retroactive constitutional rule applies to the

movant's case thereby rendering the conviction invalid.

You were not convicted after a trial. You plead guilty to two counts of

robbery in the first degree and one count of possession of a deadly weapon during

the commission of a felony on January 4, 2012. Accordingly, the procedural bars

of Rule 61 require that your motion be summarily dismissed. I am therefore

barred from considering your third motion for postconviction relief on the merits.

CONCLUSION

Your third motion for postconviction relief is **SUMMARILY**

DISMISSED. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Very truly yours,

E. Scott Bradley

ESB:tll

cc: Prothonotary's Office